

Santa Monica, CA 90401

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/001,499	11/14/2001	Ligui Zhou	0179.0029	3237
7590 07/23/2004		EXAMIN		NER
David J. Oldenkamp, Esq.			MAKI, STEVEN D	
Shapiro, Borenstein & Dupont LLP Suite 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
233 Wilshire Boulevard			1733	

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/001,499	ZHOU ET AL.				
, autory , and a	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven D. Maki	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address/				
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whi eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Ade event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extermining the period o	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Institute and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the effective fee ender the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)	t's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: new issues: see advisory action attachi	ment.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): see advisory action attachment.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request to application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 1-25, 27-28, 30, 32-33 and 36-37.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>26,29,31,34,35 and 38</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 070604.						
10. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/001,499

Art Unit: 1733

Advisory Action Attachment

new issues

The new issues are (1) in claims 26 and 35, changing "tetrafunctional epoxy" to --tetraglycidyldiaminodiphenyl-methane--, (2) changing dependency of claim 29 from "claim 26" to --claim 1-- and (3) changing dependency of claim 38 from "claim 35" to --claim 17--.

information disclosure statement

With respect to US 5972810 and EP 392348, the information disclosure statement filed 7-6-04 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because:

- (1) The information disclosure statement filed 7-6-04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e).
 - (2) The information disclosure statement filed 7-6-04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p).

It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any resubmission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

Application/Control Number: 10/001,499

Art Unit: 1733

It is noted that applicant may file a RCE under 37 CFR 1.114 to have the information considered by the examiner. See "Requests for Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114" on page 600-119 of MPEP 609 (August 2001).

With respect to EP 927737 and EP 819723, these references, which have already been considered, have been crossed off the PTO 1449 filed 7-6-04 since they are already listed on the PTO 1449 filed 2-15-02.

remarks

In view of the statement at the bottom of page 9 of the response filed 7-6-04, Zhou et al '910 and Zhou et al '257 have been excluded as prior art under 35 USC 103(c). Accordingly, the 35 USC 103 rejection set forth in paragraph 7 of the last office action dated 4-16-04 is withdrawn and claims 27-28, 32-33 and 36-37 are allowed.

Claims 29, 34 and 38 are rejected under 35 USC 112 first paragraph for the reasons given in the last office action. Claim 34, which was inadvertently omitted from the heading in paragraph 3 of the last office action, must be included in the above noted 112 first paragraph rejection since claim 34 is dependent on claim 29.

The 35 USC 102(e) rejection of claims 26, 31 and 35 stands since applicant cannot rely on the prior art exclusion under 35 USC 103(c) to overcome a 35 USC 102(e) rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven D. Maki whose telephone number is (571) 272-1221. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,499

Art Unit: 1733

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571) 272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven D. Maki July 20, 2004 STEVEN D. MAKI PRIMARY EXAMINER -GROUP-1300-

Page 4